arXiv:gr-qc/0408011v2 22 Nov 2004

On the initial value problem for second order scalar fluctuations in Einstein static

B. Losic[1] and W.G. Unruh[2]

[1] *Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of British Columbia 6224 Agricultural Road Vancouver, B.C. V6T 1Z1 Canada*

[2] *Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Cosmology and Gravitation Program* *

(Dated: April 5, 2004)

We consider fluctuations in a perfect irrotational fluid coupled to gravity in an Einstein static universe background. We show that the homogeneous linear perturbations of the scalar and metric fluctuations in the Einstein static universe must be present if the second order constraint equations are to be integrable. I.e., the 'linearization stability' constraint forces the presence of these homogeneous modes. Since these linear homogeneous scalar modes are well known to be exponentially unstable, the tactic of neglecting these modes to create a long-lived, almost Einstein universe does not work, even if all higher order (L > 1) modes are dynamically stable.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently Barrow et al [1] re-examined the stability of the Einstein static spacetime against arbitrary linear fluctuations in the metric and matter and found, surprisingly, that some modes are stable. More precisely, they found that given a sufficiently large speed of sound in the background, all non-gauge inhomogeneous scalar modes were neutrally stable (i.e. the fluctuations are not damped), and furthermore vector and tensor modes were neutrally stable on all scales irrespective of the equation of state in the background. The essence of this stability arises from the spatial compactness of the Einstein static spacetime, i.e. there exists a maximum physical wavelength in this closed space and furthermore the Jeans length is a significant fraction of this maximum scale. It turns out that for specific equations of state in the background matter all physical wavelengths fall below the Jeans wavelength and the modes are thus stable for the usual reasons.

This surprising non-Newtonian stability for a large class of fluctuations, which was pointed out earlier in different and more restricted contexts in [2] and [3], is one of the key elements of support for the Emergent Universe models proposed recently by Ellis et al in [4]. These models explicitly construct spatially closed, positively curved, cosmologies which do not bounce and in which inflation (triggered by precisely the famous homogeneous instability of Einstein static) is not preceded by an era of deceleration, by contrast to deSitter and most other models of closed inflation. Such constructions have no initial singularity, undergo the usual inflationary period ending in the usual reheating era, and immediately solve the horizon problem owing to the staticity of the initial state. However another key feature of these models is that a finite number of e-foldings of inflation occurs over an *infinite* time in the past. It is critical for this scenario that the homogeneous mode, which is always exponentially unstable in linear order, be excluded with high accuracy.

In the present paper we investigate the initial value problem for second order inhomogeneous fluctuations about the Einstein static solution, as made precise below. For some time now it has been known (see, e.g., [5]) that the initial value equations of gravity have peculiar properties in a closed universe with symmetries. The crucial idea is that there are certain flux integrals, associated with those symmetries, which are constrained to be zero. Thus not all solutions to the linear perturbations equations are actually perturbations of some exact solution to the Einstein equations. Technically, the tangent space defined by the linearized initial value constraints is actually larger than the manifold of solutions. Only those solutions to the linearized equations which obey an additional second order constraint can be the linearization of an actual family of solutions to the full Einstein equations. This feature of the perturbations of the Einstein equations is called "linearization stabilty". It does not refer to the dynamic stability of pertubative solutions, but to the above "stability" of the linearized solutions as genuine approximations to exact solutions of the Einstein equations.

The nature of these higher order restrictions on the linear fluctuations about a certain background space,

*Electronic address: blosic@physics.ubc.ca ; unruh@physics.ubc.ca

which are necessary conditions to ensure the so-called 'linearization stability' of that background space (see [6], [7]), is such that for every Killing vector in the background there is an associated higher order constraint. In this paper we focus on the higher order constraint associated with the timelike Killing field of the Einstein static initial state.

We find, in the case of a general irrotational perfect fluid with cosmological constant, that there are no nontrivial solutions to both the linearized constraints and this global nonlinear constraint when we exclude the linear homogeneous scalar metric and matter fluctuations. In other words, the leading order linear metric and matter fluctuations must be trivial if their linear seeds do not include 'zero mode' homogeneous fluctuations. It is well known that these homogeneous modes are dynamically unstable for any (causal) equation state of the matter, just as all perturbations of an Einstein static dust model are unstable. This would seem to suggest that if the universe is in a neighbourhood of the Einstein static solution then it does *not* stay there, even if perturbed with the neutrally stable modes found in reference [1]. This is one of the first instances where the linearization stability issues make a physical difference in the analysis of metric and matter stability (see also Brill, [5]).

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we briefly outline the details of the Einstein static background model. In section III we define the constraint equations in the standard ADM decomposition of the Einstein equations and also define an orthogonal decomposition (following [5]) of perturbations into transverse and longitudinal parts. In Section IV we formulate and compute the nonlinear constraints, leaving conclusions for Section V. The entire analysis is quite similar to that in Brill and Deser's original paper [5].

II. EINSTEIN STATIC SPACETIME

Consider a FRW universe in comoving coordinates $(t, \vec{x})$ with scale factor $a(t)$, with signature (-1,1,1,1), and with a perfect fluid with energy density ρ and pressure p. The equations of motion for the scale factor $a(t)$ are, according to the Einstein equations,

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{\kappa}{3}\left[\rho(1+3w) - \Lambda\right], \tag{1}$$

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3}(\rho + \Lambda) - \frac{K}{a^2}, \tag{2}$$

where $K \equiv \pm 1, 0$ is the constant curvature of the $t = const$ slices, $H \equiv \partial_t ln(a)$ is the Hubble parameter, Λ is a cosmological constant, $w \equiv \frac{p}{\rho}$, and $\kappa \equiv 8\pi G$ in units where $c = 1$. One can combine these equations to form $\dot{H} = -\frac{\kappa}{2}(\rho(1+w)) + \frac{K}{a^2}$.

As Einstein found some time ago, demanding that the universe be static ($\dot{a} = \ddot{a} = 0$) obviously sets K to be positive (take it to be 1) and leads to constraints relating the initial energy density and pressure of the fluid to Λ. The equilibrium radius of such a static universe is set by these constraints to be

$$a_0^2 = \frac{1+3w}{\Lambda(1+w)}, \tag{3}$$

where $(1+w)\rho > 0$. In the case of dust it is seen that $a_0^2 = 1/\Lambda$.

Consider the general perfect fluid equations. The equations of motion of the perfect fluid are given by the conservation equations of $T^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u^\mu u^\nu + pg^{\mu\nu}$, or

$$(\rho + p)_{;\mu} u^\mu u_\nu + (\rho + p)u^\mu_{;\mu} u_\nu + (\rho + p)u^\mu u_{\nu;\mu} + p_{;\nu} = 0 \tag{4}$$

Dotting this with u^ν we get the primary conservation law

$$\rho_{;\mu} u^\mu + (\rho + p)u^\mu_{;\mu} = 0 \tag{5}$$

Multiplying this by u_ν and subtracting from the above equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\rho + p)u^\mu u_{\nu;\mu} + p_{;\nu} + p_{,\mu} u^\mu u_\nu \\ &= \left(\frac{\rho + p}{\sqrt{N}}\right)(\sqrt{N} u_{[\nu})_{;\mu]} u^\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where

$$N(\rho) = exp\left(2\int \frac{dp}{\rho + p}\right) \tag{7}$$

is a function of ρ where we assume that there exists some constitutive equation $p = p(\rho)$. These equations are trivially satisfied if we assume that the term $N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho)u_\mu$ satisfies

$$N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho)u_\mu = \phi_{;\mu}, \tag{8}$$

where ϕ is a scalar potential for the flow. This is an appropriate generalisation of the irrotational conditions of non-relativistic flow. Finally, multiplying this equation by itself we have that

$$N(\rho) = -\phi_{;\mu}\phi^{;\mu} \tag{9}$$

which is the equivalent of Bernouli's equation for non-relativistic irrotational flow. We will concern ourselves with constitutive equations where p is linearly proportional to ρ.

We wish to treat the case of an arbitrary *irrotational* perfect fluid in terms of this scalar field ϕ that acts as the velocity potential of the fluid (as in [9]). We choose the scalar field to have the action

$$S \;=\; \frac{1}{2\alpha}\int (-\phi^{,\lambda}\phi_{,\lambda})^\alpha \sqrt{-|g|}d^4x, \quad \alpha \in \Re \tag{10}$$

Comparing the stress energy that results from varying the above action with respect to the metric with that of a perfect fluid, we can easily identify the corresponding energy density and pressure in terms of ϕ:

$$\rho \;=\; (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})N^\alpha, \tag{11}$$

$$p \;=\; \frac{N^\alpha}{2}. \tag{12}$$

For $\alpha = 1$ we obtain the stiff ($w = 1$) perfect fluid, i.e. a minimally coupled scalar field. However, note that the speed of sound is given by $c_s^2 = p/\rho = (2\alpha - 1)^{-1}$ and thus causality restricts $\alpha \geq 1$. It turns out that stable (inhomogeneous) scalar fluctuation modes only exist when the background speed of sound satisfies the bound $v_s^2 \geq \frac{1}{5}$ ([1], [3]) for no Jeans instability, which translates into $\alpha \leq 3$. I.e. there is no Jeans instability for any physical inhomogeneous modes given these conditions. Thus we take $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3$ in what follows. We also note in passing that in order for the four velocity to be timelike the gradients of the velocity potential ϕ are restricted to ones such that their temporal gradient dominates their spatial counterpart. In this model we take the background $\phi = (\frac{\rho_0}{\alpha - 1/2})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t$ to satisfy equation (7) in the background, so the timelike condition will always be satisfied for the perturbations.

In any case the generalized Einstein static initial conditions (in terms of ϕ) become

$$N^\alpha \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \;=\; \Lambda = \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha\kappa a_0^2}, \tag{13}$$

i.e. $a_0^2 = (\alpha + 1)/(\alpha\Lambda)$ and $N = (2\Lambda/(\kappa(\alpha + 1)))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$.

III. INITIAL-VALUE CONSTRAINT EQUATIONS

The gravitational field may be characterized in terms of the initial three-geometry g_{ij} and extrinsic curvature K^{ij} of some spacelike surface. These twelve quantities may be rewritten into a more convenient hamiltonian form by defining the momentum density $\pi^{ij} \equiv \sqrt{|g|}(K^{ij} - K^\ell{}_\ell g^{ij})$, which is conjugate to g_{ij}. The phase space for Einstein's equations is then some suitable function space of pairs (g_{ab}, π^{ab}) over a three-dimensional manifold M (which we take as compact and without boundary), and the constraint subset of phase space is defined by the four initial-value constraints. The constraints are nonlinear and we expand them order by order in the strength of the metric and matter perturbations. Quantities with overbars will

indicate zeroth order, background, quantities and a bar indicates covariant differentiation with respect to the background metric (used intechangably with the standard $\bar{\nabla}_i$ notation).

The constraints with matter sources (ρ, J^a) are

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}}\left(\pi^{ab}\pi_{ab}-\frac{1}{2}\pi^2\right)+\sqrt{|g|}{}^{(3)}R \;=\; 2\kappa\sqrt{|g|}\rho, \tag{14}$$

$$(\pi^{ab})_{\;|b} \;=\; \kappa J^a, \tag{15}$$

where ${}^{(3)}R$ is the Ricci curvature scalar for the three surface (in what follows we will drop the 3 superscript) and $\pi \equiv g_{ab}\pi^{ab}$.

At zeroth order, i.e. in the background Einstein static spacetime, there is only one nontrivial constraint namely

$$\bar{\rho} \;=\; \frac{3\Lambda}{2\kappa}, \tag{16}$$

where we have used the background conditions $\bar{R}_{ab} = \Lambda\bar{g}_{ab}$, $\bar{\pi}^{ab} = 0$. At linear order (using the background equations) the constraint equations are

$$\delta R \;=\; 2\kappa\delta\rho, \tag{17}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_b\delta\pi^{ab} \;=\; \kappa\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}\delta J^a, \tag{18}$$

and similarly the second order equations are, using the linear and background equations,

$$\delta^2 R \;=\; \frac{1}{|\bar{g}|}\left(\delta\pi^{ab}\delta\pi_{ab}-\frac{1}{2}\delta\pi^2\right)+2\kappa\delta^2\rho \tag{19}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_b\delta^2\pi^{ab}+2\left[\delta C^a_{bm}\delta\pi^{mb}+\delta C^b_{bm}\delta\pi^{am}\right]\sqrt{|\bar{g}|} \;=\; \kappa\delta^2(J^a\sqrt{|g|}), \tag{20}$$

Here $2\delta C^a_{bm} \equiv \bar{g}^{ac}(\bar{\nabla}_m\delta g_{bc}+\bar{\nabla}_b\delta g_{mc}-\bar{\nabla}_c\delta g_{bm})$ is the perturbed connection. One can rewrite equation (19), the equation associated with time reparametrization invariance at second order, using $\delta^2 R = 2\delta g^{ab}\delta R_{ab} + \bar{g}^{ab}\delta^2 R_{ab} + \delta^2 g^{ab}\bar{R}_{ab}$ to obtain

$$\bar{\Delta}\delta^2 g-\bar{\nabla}^m\bar{\nabla}^b\delta^2 g_{bm}-\Lambda\bar{g}_{ab}\delta^2 g^{ab} \;=\; \bar{\nabla}_\ell B^\ell+2\delta C^c_{b[a}\delta C^\ell_{\ell]c}\bar{g}^{ab}+2\delta g^{ab}\delta R_{ab}-\frac{1}{|\bar{g}|}\left(\delta\pi^{ab}\delta\pi_{ab}-\frac{1}{2}\delta\pi^2\right)-2\kappa\delta^2\rho, \tag{21}$$

where by $\bar{\nabla}_\ell B^\ell$ we denote a combination of terms that occur in the form of a total derivative. In obtaining (21) we have expanded the second order Ricci scalar in terms of the perturbed connection defined above and grouped second order terms and products of linear order terms. One can similarly simplify the momentum constraints (20) but in this paper our main concern will be the second order timelike, or "Hamiltonian", constraint.

Typically what one does is to solve the constraints for a given set of fluctuation variables and in that way solve for the constrained variables in terms of the free variables. A convenient way to facilitate this process is through an orthogonal decomposition of the fluctuations in g_{ab} and π^{ab} into longitudinal and transverse parts. As pointed out in [5] this procedure differs crucially for tensors in closed spaces from the usual one in flat space since one can now have fluctuations in global parameters such as the total volume of the space while still having no local excitations.

Following the notation of Brill et al one may decompose the metric perturbation into its various transverse, transverse traceless, and longitudinal parts via

$$\delta g_{ab} \;=\; \delta g^{(TT)}_{ab}+\frac{1}{2}\left(-\bar{\nabla}_a\bar{\nabla}_b+\bar{\Delta}\bar{g}_{ab}\right)\delta g^{(Tr)}+\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\delta g}\bar{g}_{ab}+2\delta g^{(V)}_{(a|b)}, \tag{22}$$

where $\bar{\Delta} \equiv \bar{\nabla}_c\bar{\nabla}^c$. The transvserse traceless and longitudinal parts are defined as usual:

$$\bar{g}^{ab}\delta g^{(TT)}_{ab} \;=\; 0 = \bar{\nabla}^a\delta g^{(TT)}_{ab}, \tag{23}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_a\delta g^{(V)\,a} \;=\; 0, \tag{24}$$

and here $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\delta g} \equiv \mathcal{A}_{\delta g} + \frac{\Lambda}{2}\delta g^{(Tr)}$. The homogeneous fluctuations $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\delta g}$ are essentially the global fluctuations (related to the volume fluctuation) unique to perturbations of closed spaces. An analagous decomposition holds for the momentum fluctuation (the homogeneous modes $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\delta\pi}$ describe the 'time rate of change' of the volume fluctuation).

Using the above decomposition we note that an identity due to the symmetry of the background is that the perturbations are all 'doubly transverse'. Indeed, we see

$$\bar{\nabla}^b \delta g_{ab} \;=\; \bar{\Delta}\delta g_a^{(V)} + \bar{g}^{bm}\left(-\bar{R}^{\ell}{}_{bma}\delta g_{\ell}^{(V)}\right) \stackrel{*}{=} (\bar{\Delta}+\Lambda)\delta g_a^{(V)} \tag{25}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}^a\bar{\nabla}^b \delta g_{ab} \;=\; \bar{g}^{an}[\bar{\nabla}_n, \bar{\Delta}]\delta g_a^{(V)} \stackrel{*}{=} 0 \tag{26}$$

We also note that we can write

$$\delta^2 R \;=\; \Lambda\bar{g}_{ab}\delta^2 g^{ab} + 2\delta g^{ab}\delta R_{ab} + 2\bar{g}^{ab}\delta C^c_{b[a}\delta C^{\ell}_{\ell]c} - 2\bar{\nabla}_{[a}\delta^2\tilde{C}^c_{c]b}\bar{g}^{ab} + \bar{\nabla}_\ell B^\ell, \tag{27}$$

where

$$2\delta^2\tilde{C}^a_{\ bc} \;\equiv\; \bar{g}^{am}\left(\bar{\nabla}_b\delta^2 g_{cm} + \bar{\nabla}_c\delta^2 g_{bm} - \bar{\nabla}_m\delta^2 g_{bc}\right) \tag{28}$$

We may use the decompositions above to eliminate the 'doubly transverse' term and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Delta}\delta^2 g - \Lambda\bar{g}_{ab}\delta^2 g^{ab} \;&=\; 2\delta g^{ab}\delta R_{ab} + \bar{\nabla}_\ell B^\ell + 2\delta C^c_{b[a}\delta C^{\ell}_{\ell]c}\bar{g}^{ab} \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{|\bar{g}|}\left(\delta\pi^{ab}\delta\pi_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\delta\pi^2\right) - 2\kappa\delta^2\rho,\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where $\delta^2\rho$ are the second order energy density fluctuations. We explicitly insert the above decompositions into equation (28) in the next section.

In the case of the generalized scalar field ϕ introduced in section II, the Hamiltonian formulation is more involved since the canonical momentum conjugate to ϕ is generally

$$\pi_\phi \;\equiv\; \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{\phi}} = \frac{\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}}{\mathcal{N}}(\dot{\phi} - \mathcal{N}^i\phi_{,i})\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\mathcal{N}^2} - \frac{2\mathcal{N}^i\dot{\phi}\phi_{,i}}{\mathcal{N}^2} - \phi^{,i}\phi_{,i}\right)^{\alpha-1},$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}^i$ are the purely kinematical lapse and shift variables of the ADM formalism. For general $3 \geq \alpha \geq 1$ this expression cannot be inverted in closed form to find $\dot{\phi} = \dot{\phi}(\pi_\phi)$, however for a spatially homogeneous scalar field it is possible and the resulting Hamiltonian (energy density) is

$$H_0 \;=\; \frac{2\alpha-1}{2\alpha}\left(\frac{(\pi_\phi)^{2\alpha}}{\sqrt{|g|}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha-1}} + \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa} = \sqrt{|g|}\rho, \tag{30}$$

where $\bar{\pi}_\phi = \sqrt{|\bar{g}|}\dot{\bar{\phi}}^{2\alpha-1}$. We define the fluctuations $\delta\pi_\phi$ and $\delta^2\pi_\phi$ using the formal definition of π_ϕ given above. For example the first order fluctuation in π_ϕ is formally defined by

$$\delta\pi_\phi \;\equiv\; \frac{\bar{\pi}_\phi}{\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}}\left(\delta\left(\frac{\sqrt{|g|}}{\mathcal{N}}\right) + \sqrt{|\bar{g}|}\left(\delta\dot{\phi}\frac{2\alpha-1}{\dot{\bar{\phi}}} - 2(\alpha-1)\delta\mathcal{N}\right)\right), \tag{31}$$

and similarly for the second order fluctuation (though new cross-terms like $\delta\mathcal{N}^i\delta\phi_{,i}$ and $\delta\phi^{,i}\delta\phi_{,i}$ start to appear). Formally perturbing equations (11) and (12) with the above definitions of $\delta\pi_\phi$, $\delta^2\pi_\phi$ yields

$$2\kappa\delta\rho \;=\; \alpha\Lambda\frac{\delta N}{\bar{N}} = \frac{2\Lambda\alpha}{2\alpha-1}\left[\frac{\delta\pi_\phi}{\bar{\pi}_\phi} - \frac{\delta g}{2}\right], \tag{32}$$

$$2\kappa\delta^2\rho \;=\; \alpha\Lambda\left[(\alpha-1)\left(\frac{\delta N}{\bar{N}}\right)^2 + \frac{\delta^2 N}{\bar{N}}\right], \tag{33}$$

where the second order term is given explicitly by

$$\delta^2 N \;=\; 2(\delta\dot{\tilde{\phi}}^2 + \dot{\tilde{\phi}}\delta^2\dot{\phi}) - 4\dot{\tilde{\phi}}\delta\dot{\phi}\delta\tilde{N} - 2\dot{\tilde{\phi}}^2\delta^2\tilde{N} + 6\dot{\tilde{\phi}}^2(\delta\tilde{N})^2 - 2\dot{\tilde{\phi}}\delta\tilde{N}^i\delta\phi_{,i} - \delta\phi^{,i}\delta\phi_{,i},$$

so that, in Hamiltonian form, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\kappa\delta^2\rho \;=\; & \frac{2\alpha\Lambda}{2\alpha-1}\left[\frac{\delta^2\pi_\phi}{\bar{\pi}_\phi} - \frac{\delta^2\sqrt{|g|}}{\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}} - \frac{\delta\phi^{,i}\delta\phi_{,i}}{2}\left(\frac{\bar{\pi}_\phi}{\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\alpha}}\right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{(2\alpha-1)^2}\Big((2\alpha^2+8\alpha-7)(\delta\ln\sqrt{|g|})^2 + (2\alpha^2+4\alpha-5)(\delta\ln\pi_\phi)^2 - 4(\alpha^2+3\alpha-3)(\delta\ln\sqrt{|g|})(\delta\ln\pi_\phi)\Big)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Here $\delta g \equiv \bar{g}^{ab}\delta g_{ab}$ and $\bar{N}^\alpha = \Lambda/(\kappa(2\alpha-1))$ by the zeroth order constraint (13). Inserting the above matter perturbations into equation (25) and using the second-order identity $\bar{g}^{ab}\delta^2 g_{ab} + \bar{g}_{ab}\delta^2 g^{ab} = -2\delta g^{ab}\delta g_{ab}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\Delta} + \Lambda\frac{\alpha-1}{2\alpha-1})\delta^2 g \;=\; & \Lambda\left(\frac{2-3\alpha}{2\alpha-1}\right)\delta g^{ab}\delta g_{ab} + 2\delta g^{ab}\delta R_{ab} + 2\delta C^c_{b[a}\delta C^\ell_{\ell]c}\bar{g}^{ab} - \frac{1}{|\bar{g}|}\left(\delta\pi^{ab}\delta\pi_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\delta\pi^2\right) \\ & - \frac{2\Lambda\alpha}{2\alpha-1}\left[\frac{\delta^2\pi_\phi}{\bar{\pi}_\phi} - \frac{\delta\phi^{,i}\delta\phi_{,i}}{2}\left(\frac{\bar{\pi}_\phi}{\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\alpha}} + \frac{1}{(2\alpha-1)^2}\Big((-2\alpha^2+10\alpha-8)(\delta\ln\sqrt{|g|})^2\right. \\ & \left. + (2\alpha^2+4\alpha-5)(\delta\ln\pi_\phi)^2 - 4(\alpha^2+3\alpha-3)(\delta\ln\sqrt{|g|})(\delta\ln\pi_\phi)\Big)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The various terms in $(\delta\pi_\phi)$ can be reexpressed in terms of the metric fluctuations, using the linearized Hamiltonian constraint, via

$$\delta\ln\pi_\phi \;=\; -\frac{(2\alpha-1)}{2\Lambda\alpha}\left(\left[\bar{\Delta} + \Lambda\frac{\alpha-1}{2\alpha-1}\right]\delta g - \bar{\nabla}^c\bar{\nabla}^b\delta g_{cb}\right) \equiv \mathcal{M}\delta g, \tag{36}$$

so that the only explicit matter dependence in the second order hamiltonian constraint appears through the $\delta^2\pi_\phi$ and $\bar{g}^{ij}\delta\phi_{,i}\delta\phi_{,j}$ terms.

IV. NONLINEAR RESTRICTIONS ON THE LINEAR FLUCTUATIONS

A. Fixing the linear gauge

As is usual in relativistic perturbation theory one must fix the coordinate freedom inherent in the metric and matter fluctuations. In our particular case we want to remove the homogeneous second order matter dependence, which enters through the $\delta^2\pi_\phi$ term, in order that equation (35) is of the form $\mathcal{L}\delta^2 F = S((\delta F)^2)$ (where $\mathcal{L}$ is an elliptic operator (with only constants in its kernel) acting on the fluctuations in some quantity F in a closed space). In this form (since the timelike Killing vector component is trivial) the nonlinear constraints simply become $\int S = 0$ where the integral is over the closed space [5].

Fortunately the Einstein static background crucially simplifies the relevant gauge transformation laws of the fluctuations not only at linear order, but also at second order. Indeed the term $\delta^2\pi_\phi$ only depends on the *linear* gauge fixing essentially because $\dot{\bar{\pi}}_\phi = 0$. We are only interested in the homogeneous part of this $\delta^2\pi_\phi$ term so we pick a linear gauge-fixing to eliminate it and leave only the inhomogeneous part $\delta^2\tilde{\pi}_\phi$.

We consider the linear spacetime coordinate transformation $\hat{x}^\beta = x^\beta + (T, \partial^i M + \tilde{M}^i)$, where $(T, M, \tilde{M}^i)$ satisfy

$$\bar{\Delta}\left((2\alpha-1)\bar{\Delta} + (\alpha-1)\Lambda\right)T \;=\; -(2\alpha-1)\delta\pi^{(Tr)} \tag{37}$$

$$\int_{S^3}\left\{2M\bar{\Delta}\delta\pi_\phi + \delta^2\pi_\phi\right\}\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}d^3x \;=\; 0 \tag{38}$$

$$\tilde{M}^i \;=\; -\delta g^i_{(V)}, \quad \bar{\nabla}_i\tilde{M}^i = 0, \tag{39}$$

and where the spatial dependence of the modes is understood in terms of the eigenfunctions of the spatial laplacian: $\bar{\Delta}F = -\sum_L \frac{L(L+2)}{a_0^2}F = -\sum_L \frac{\Lambda\alpha}{\alpha+1}L(L+2)F, L \in \mathcal{Z}^+$. In this new coordinate system $\delta g^i_{(V)} = 0$, the homogeneous part of $\delta^2\pi_\phi$ is zero, and $\delta\pi^{(Tr)} = 0$. Thus

$$-\frac{1}{|\bar{g}|}\left(\delta\pi^{ab}\delta\pi_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\delta\pi^2\right) - \frac{2\Lambda\alpha}{\bar{\pi}_\phi(2\alpha-1)}\delta^2\pi_\phi \;=\; -\frac{1}{|\bar{g}|}\left(\delta\pi^{ab}_{TT}\delta\pi^{TT}_{ab} - \frac{3}{2}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\delta\pi})^2\right) - \frac{2\Lambda\alpha}{\bar{\pi}_\phi(2\alpha-1)}\delta^2\tilde{\pi}_\phi. \quad (40)$$

This remarkable tranformation completely fixes the vector degrees of freedom at linear order, however we still have the freedom $M \to M + f(t)$ in the scalar sector which we use to eliminate the homogeneous modes $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\delta g}$ by picking a special $f(t)$ (whose form is not particular illuminating at this stage). Furthermore, the linearized Hamiltonian constraint (36) in this coordinate system implies that $\bar{\Delta}\delta\pi_\phi$ cannot be zero everywhere, which means we can always pick a function M such that equation (38) is satisfied.

B. Gauge-fixed nonlinear constraints

The nonlinear constraint associated with (35) (an integrability condition on $\delta^2 g^{(Tr)}$), is effectively the integral of the right hand side of equation (35) set to zero:

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \int_{S^3} \quad & \Lambda\left(\frac{2-3\alpha}{2\alpha-1}\right)\delta g^{ab}\delta g_{ab} + 2\delta g^{ab}\delta R_{ab} + 2\delta C^c_{b[a}\delta C^\ell_{\ell]c}\bar{g}^{ab} - \frac{1}{|\bar{g}|}\left(\delta\pi^{ab}\delta\pi_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\delta\pi^2\right) \\ & -\frac{2\Lambda\alpha}{2\alpha-1}\left[\frac{\delta^2\pi_\phi}{\bar{\pi}_\phi} - \frac{\delta\phi^{,i}\delta\phi_{,i}}{2}\left(\frac{\bar{\pi}_\phi}{\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\alpha}} + \frac{1}{(2\alpha-1)^2}\Big((-2\alpha^2+10\alpha-8)(\delta\ln\sqrt{|g|})^2\right. \\ & \left.+(2\alpha^2+4\alpha-5)(\delta\ln\pi_\phi)^2 - 4(\alpha^2+3\alpha-3)(\delta\ln\sqrt{|g|})(\delta\ln\pi_\phi)\Big)\right]\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}d^3x\end{aligned}$$

Using by-parts integration and compactness one can show that

$$2\int_{S^3}\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}\left(\delta g^{ab}\delta R_{ab} + \delta C^c_{b[a}\delta C^\ell_{\ell]c}\bar{g}^{ab}\right) \;=\; \int_{S^3}\delta g^{ab}\delta R_{ab}\sqrt{|\bar{g}|},$$

which implies, using the linear equations of motion and making the above gauge choice,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S^3} \quad & \frac{1}{|\bar{g}|}\delta\pi^{ab}_{TT}\delta\pi^{TT}_{ab} + \delta g^{ab}\frac{\bar{\Delta}\delta g_{ab}}{2} - \frac{\Lambda}{2(2\alpha-1)}\delta g^{ab}\delta g_{ab} \\ & +\left(\frac{2\Lambda\alpha}{(2\alpha-1)^3}\left(\mathcal{M}^2(2\alpha^2+4\alpha-5) - 2\mathcal{M}(\alpha^2+3\alpha-3) + \frac{-2\alpha^2+10\alpha-8}{4}\right) - \frac{\Lambda}{2}\right)(\delta g)^2 - 3\left(\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2_{\delta\pi}}{2}\right)V = 0,\end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where V represents the volume of the initial static space and $\mathcal{M}$ is defined in equation (31). We have eliminated the terms in $\delta\phi_{,i}$ by using the scalar-sector momentum constraints (which are $\partial^i(\frac{\Lambda}{2\kappa\bar{\pi}_\phi}\delta\pi^{(Tr)} - \delta\phi) = 0$). The constraint is split into its inhomogeneous ($L \geq 2$) and homogeneous ($L = 0$) pieces. [10].

Finally, inserting the decompositions into equation (41) and using equation (36) to remove the dependence in $(\delta\pi_\phi)^2$, we arrive at

$$0 \;=\; \int_{S^3} A\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}d^3x - 3\left(\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2_{\delta\pi}}{2}\right)V, \quad (42)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}A \;=\; \sum_{L\geq 2}\Bigg[& \alpha\Lambda^3k^2\left\{\frac{\alpha^2(2\alpha^2+4\alpha-5)}{2(\alpha+1)^4(2\alpha-1)}k^6 - \frac{\alpha(6\alpha^2+21\alpha-20)}{4(2\alpha-1)(\alpha+1)^3}k^4 + \frac{100\alpha^3-194\alpha^2+109\alpha+8\alpha^2-20}{8(\alpha+1)^3(2\alpha-1)^3}k^2\right. \\ & \left.+\frac{\alpha-1}{2(2\alpha-1)(\alpha+1)}\right\}(\delta g^{(tr)})^2 + \left\{\frac{1}{|\bar{g}|}\left[\delta\pi^{(TT)}_{ab}\delta\pi^{(TT)}_{\ell m}\right] + \frac{\Lambda}{2\alpha+1}(\alpha(k^2-2) + \frac{\alpha+1}{2\alpha-1})\delta g^{(TT)}_{\ell m}\delta g^{(TT)}_{ab}\right\}\bar{g}^{\ell a}\bar{g}^{mb}\Bigg]\end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

and where $k^2 \equiv L(L+2)$. We point out that equation (42) is not the integral of the second order Hamiltonian $H^{(2)}$ for the fluctuations since, given our gauge fixing, the symplectic terms $\delta\pi^{(Tr)}\delta\dot{g}^{ij}_{Tr}$ do not contribute.

The main result of this paper is that first term in equation (43), A, is positive definite given $\alpha \geq 1$, $L \geq 2$. We observe that the global constraint is an integral over S^3, i.e. is of positive measure. This means that in the absence of the homogeneous mode, which provide a strictly negative definite contribution to the integral through the second term, there is no nontrivial solution to these global constraints *even though* there are certainly solutions to the second order equations which only have inhomogeneous linear seeds. In other words, if one wants to study the evolution of the second order modes one must include, as part of their source, the zero mode at linear order in order to properly satisfy the initial value constraints. Therefore, we have shown that at linear order we *must* include the unstable, homogeneous mode. We emphasize that we are *not* claiming stability or not at the second order level, rather we are claiming instability at the *linear* level. Whether or not second order perturbations could stabilize the spacetime at a sufficiently high value of the linearized solution remains unclear, but we do not address that issue here though we strongly suspect not.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that the requirement that the second order Einstein constraint equations be integrable demands that any non-homogenous linear mode perturbations of the einstein static universe must be accompanied by the homogenous linear mode with comparable amplitude. Since this homogeneous linear mode is exponentially unstable, this implies that any linear approximation to a solution of Einstein's equations must be unstable. Our result is valid for perfect fluid matter determined by a potential and with a constant velocity of sound.

VI. ACKNOLWEDGEMENTS

Both authors thank NSERC for support during the completion of this work. W.G.U. also thanks the CIAR for support.

[1] J. D. Barrow, G. F. R. Ellis, R. Maartens and C. G. Tsagas, Class. Quant. Grav. **20**, L155 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0302094].
[2] E. R. Harrison, Phys. Rev. D **1**, 2726 (1970).
[3] G.W. Gibbons, Nucl. Phys. B B**292**, 784 (1987).
[4] G. F. R. Ellis and R. Maartens, Class. Quant. Grav. **21**, 223 (2004).
[5] D. R. Brill and J. Deser, Commun. Math. Phys. **32**, 291 (1973)
[6] V. Moncrief, J. Math. Phys. **17**, 1893 (1976).
[7] V. Moncrief, *Prepared for Directions in General Relativity: An International Symposium in Honor of the 60th Birthdays of Dieter Brill and Charles Misner, College Park, MD, 27-29 May 1993*
[8] D. G. Boulware, G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1726 (1983).
[9] J. Garriga and V. F. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 219 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9904176].
[10] The fluctuations corresponding to $L = 1$ can be shown to be purely coordinate fluctuations.